Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 1/7/2016 2:10:48 PM Filing ID: 94577 Accepted 1/7/2016

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners:

Robert G. Taub, Acting Chairman; Nanci E. Langley, Vice Chairman; Mark Acton; and Tony Hammond

Competitive Product Prices
Priority Mail Contract 41 (MC2012-39)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2012-47

CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 1

(Issued January 7, 2016)

To clarify the Postal Service's request to amend Priority Mail Contract 41, filed December 31, 2015,¹ the Postal Service is requested to provide written responses to the following questions. The responses are due no later than January 12, 2016.

- In Docket No. ACR2015, Library Reference USPS-FY15-NP27, "Priority" folder, Excel file "TRACS HWY cost per mile FY15," tab "cost per mile," cell F10, the FY 2015 Inter-SCF average cost per mile is different than the average cost per mile used in the NSA financial model in this proceeding.
 - a. Please confirm that the cost per mile input in the Contract 41 Excel file, tab "Partner Unit Costs," cells C14-F14 (Inter-SCF cost per mile) is based on an average from FY 2015 Quarters 2, 3, and 4.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Change in Prices Pursuant to Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 41, December 31, 2015.

Docket No. CP2012-47

- 2 -

- If confirmed, please explain why the FY 2015 Inter-SCF cost per mile is not used.
- c. If not confirmed, please provide the source of the average Inter-SCF cost per mile used in the model.
- Please specify whether any additional inputs to the NSA financial model in this
 proceeding rely on partial FY 2015 data. If so, please specify which inputs, the
 reason(s) for relying on partial FY 2015 data, and explain any adjustments made
 to account for partial year calculations.

By the Acting Chairman.

Robert G. Taub